

PROJECT-SPECIFIC CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT

**APPENDIX B** 

Fort McKay Specific Assessment

Fort McKay Industry Relations Corporation

March 2010

## Summary of Assessment Criteria for Environmental Components

Component	Assessment Criteria	Green-Yellow-Red Rating <sup>1</sup>
Air Quality (Section 2.0)	<ul> <li>Fort McKay's Health and Odour Criteria (Appendix 2-1)</li> <li>Health Canada's Air Quality Health Index (AQHI)</li> <li>World Health Organization (WHO) criteria or Alberta Environment (AENV) criteria, depending on the basis for the limit</li> <li>Fort McKay's Keeping Clean Areas Clean (KCAC) Air Quality Targets (Appendix 2-1)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green:</li> <li>Parameter levels below KCAC targets</li> <li>Yellow:</li> <li>exceedance of KCAC Targets</li> <li>predicted increase of more than 5% in an air quality parameter as a result of the proposed Projects</li> <li>knowledge gaps/uncertainties</li> <li>Red:</li> <li>exceedance of health-based criteria</li> <li>predicted increase of more than 10% in an air quality parameter as a result of the proposed Projects</li> </ul>
Odours (Section 2.0)	<ul> <li>Fort McKay's Health and Odour Criteria</li> <li>Health Canada's Air Quality Health Index (AQHI)</li> <li>World Health Organization (WHO) criteria or Alberta Environment (AENV) criteria, depending on the basis for the limit</li> <li>Fort McKay's Keeping Clean Areas Clean Air Quality Targets</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green:</li> <li>Parameter levels below KCAC targets</li> <li>Yellow:</li> <li>exceedance of KCAC Targets</li> <li>predicted increase of more than 5% in an air quality parameter as a result of the proposed Projects</li> <li>substantial knowledge gaps/uncertainties</li> <li>Red:</li> <li>Since odours are currently a major problem in the Community, any predicted increase in odours in the Community was considered</li> </ul>
Air Emission Vegetation/ Ecosystem Effects (Section 2.0)	<ul> <li>Fort McKay's Vegetation\Ecosystem Protection Criteria</li> <li>95% protection level for vegetation on undisturbed portions of Fort McKay's Traditional Lands</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green:</li> <li>Any predicted exceedence of air/vegetation criteria on undisturbed land that are less than 5% of the project development area or 5% of total cumulative development areas</li> <li>Parameter levels below air/vegetation criteria (except where the project has a predicted increase of more than 5% in any air quality parameter)</li> </ul>

## Appendix B: Summary of Assessment Criteria for Environmental Components [Fort McKay Specific Assessment]

Component	Assessment Criteria	Green-Yellow-Red Rating <sup>1</sup>
Air Emission Vegetation/ Ecosystem Effects (Section 2.0) (cont'd)	<ul> <li>Parameter-specific air/vegetation criteria:</li> <li>SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>: WHO criteria</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub>: Economic Commission of Europe (ECE) criteria</li> <li>O<sub>3</sub>: Cumulative Environmental Management Association (CEMA) criteria</li> <li>Nitrogen deposition: ECE and CEMA criteria</li> <li>Potential Acid Input (PAI): CEMA criteria</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yellow:</li> <li>Any predicted exceedence of air/vegetation criteria on undisturbed land that exceeds 5% of the project development area or 5% of total cumulative development areas</li> <li>predicted increase of more than 5% in an air quality parameter as a result of the proposed Projects</li> <li>Substantial knowledge gaps/uncertainties</li> <li>Red:</li> <li>predicted increase of more than 10% in an air quality parameter as a result of the proposed Projects</li> </ul>
Groundwater (Section 3.0)	<ul> <li>Groundwater quantity: drawdown in fens and/or at cabins sites</li> <li>&lt; 0.1 m – negligible effect</li> <li>&gt;0.1 and &lt;1.0 m – potential effect</li> <li>&gt; 1.0 m – significant effect</li> <li>Groundwater quality:</li> <li>No seepage of process-affected water predicted – negligible effects</li> <li>Uncertainty as to whether these will be seepage – potential effect</li> <li>Predicted seepage of process-affected water – significant effect</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green:</li> <li>Any groundwater quantity or quality changes that will not or are unlikely to have a negative effect on a community member's direct or indirect use of groundwater on Traditional Lands. May require some ongoing monitoring to validate the predictions of little or no impact.</li> <li>Yellow:</li> <li>Any groundwater quantity or quality impacts that may affect a community member's direct or indirect use of groundwater on Traditional Lands was considered as an adverse effect. May require ongoing monitoring (the greater the uncertainty, the more extensive the monitoring will be) and potentially additional mitigation or suitable offset.</li> <li>Red:</li> <li>Any groundwater quantity or quality changes that will affect a community member's direct or indirect use of groundwater on Traditional Lands is considered a significant adverse effect that would require further mitigation and/or accommodation.</li> </ul>

Component	Assessment Criteria	Green-Yellow-Red Rating <sup>1</sup>
Surface Water	State of the Surface Water in the Watershed:	State of Surface Water in the Watershed
Hydrology (Section 4.0)	Maximum change in seasonal steam flow	Green – Sustainable:
	Watershed area disturbed	<ul> <li>less than 10% change in stream flow in any given season and/or less than 20% of the watershed area affected by development and related land-use changes. No water management plan is needed at this time.</li> </ul>
		Yellow – Threatened:
		<ul> <li>more than 10% change but less than 25% change in stream flow in any season, and/or between 20% and 40% of the watershed area affected by development and related land-use changes. A water management plan should be developed to establish impact limits and provide direction to development.</li> </ul>
		Red – Endangered:
		<ul> <li>more than 25% change in stream flow in any given season and/or more than 40% of the watershed area affected by development and related land-use changes. A water management plan is urgently needed to establish impact limits and provide direction to development.</li> </ul>

## Appendix B: Summary of Assessment Criteria for Environmental Components

Component	Assessment Criteria	Green-Yellow-Red Rating <sup>1</sup>
Water Quality	Water quality criteria	Aquatic Change Index
and Fisheries Resources (Section 5.0)	<ul> <li>Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME)</li> <li>Aquatic Change Index (water quality and fish health):</li> <li>an abbreviated version of the CCME Water Quality Index: pre-development median values are compared against future time snapshots and the number of times change in predicted median water quality concentrations is calculated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Green – Low:</li> <li>less than 10 times change in predicted median water quality concentrations compared to predevelopment to the given time snapshot in any given season and/or few guideline exceedances expected. If all variables are assessed as low, no water quality or fishing opportunities management plan is needed at this time and is assessed by Fort McKay as no adverse impact.</li> <li>Yellow - Moderate:</li> <li>between 10 and 25 times change in predicted median mean water quality concentrations expected and/or aquatic life guideline exceedances at certain times of the year. Where aquatic life may be at risk, a watershed management and fishing opportunities management plan should be developed to establish impact limits and provide direction to development. Professional judgment is required to assess whether the impact is significant.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Red – High:</li> <li>more than 25 times change in predicted median water quality concentrations and/or with guideline exceedances expected frequently; potential toxic effects related to mixtures of chemicals. Fishing opportunities are lost. A watershed management and fishing opportunities management plan is needed to establish impact limits, and provide direction to development. A significant adverse impact is likely to be the result.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Fish Health</li> <li>Aquatic Change Index</li> <li>Change in State of Watershed (% flow and % watershed disturbance) as assessed in the Hydrology assessment of this Fort McKay</li> </ul>	Fish Health Professional judgment based on Aquatic Change Index and State of Surface Water as described above, plus other relevant factors
	<ul> <li>Fishing Opportunities:</li> <li>Fish health (as described above)</li> <li>Consideration of the impacts to fish habitat described by Shell and the preliminary fish habitat compensation plan</li> </ul>	<b>Fishing Opportunities</b> Professional judgment based on Fish health as described above, loss of fish habitat as described in Shell's compensation plan, Community perspectives on effects to fishing opportunities, plus other relevant factors

Component	Assessment Criteria	Green-Yellow-Red Rating <sup>1</sup>
Wildlife	Criteria and Numerical Scores	Environmental Consequence
(Section 6.0) Upland	Direction Positive	<ul> <li>Negligible — 0 to 5 (a green situation): generally associated with effects that are of negligible magnitude; or effects of low magnitude, local in extent and reversible.</li> </ul>
Vegetation (Section 7.0) Wetland Vegetation (Section 7.0)	<ul> <li>Neutral</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>Magnitude</li> <li>Negligible (&lt;1% change): score = 0</li> <li>Low (&lt;10% change): score = +5</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low — 6 to 10 (a green situation): associated with effects of low magnitude that is reversible.</li> <li>Moderate—11 to 15 (a yellow situation): associated with effects of moderate magnitude that are irreversible; or effects of low magnitude, that are local extent, irreversible and far future in duration; or effects of low magnitude, regional extent, irreversible, far future in duration.</li> <li>High—&gt;15 (a red situation); associated with effects of moderate magnitude, local in extent, far</li> </ul>
Traditional Plants (Section 7.0)	<ul> <li>Moderate (10 to 20% change): score = +10</li> <li>High (&gt;20% change): score = +15</li> <li>Geographic Extent</li> </ul>	future in duration and irreversible; moderate magnitude, regional in extent, far future duration, irreversible and of medium frequency; high magnitude, local in extent, irreversible or partially reversible and long-term or far future in duration; high magnitude and regional in extent.
Biodiversity (Section 8.0)	<ul> <li>Local (within LSA): score = 0</li> <li>Regional (beyond LSA into FTSA): score = +1</li> <li>Beyond Regional (beyond FTSA): score = +2</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Frequency</li> <li>Low (occurs once): score = 0</li> <li>Medium (intermittent): score = +1</li> <li>High (continuous): score = +2</li> </ul>	
	Duration	
	<ul> <li>Short-term (&lt; 3 years): score = 0</li> <li>Medium-term (3 to 10 years): score = +1</li> <li>Long-term (10-20 years): score = +2</li> <li>Far-future (one to several generations): score +3</li> </ul>	
	Reversibility	
	<ul> <li>Irreversible (occurs once): score = +3</li> <li>Reversible (intermittent): score = -3</li> <li>Partially reversible (continuous): score = 0</li> </ul>	
Disturbance and Access (Section 9.0)	Qualitative assessment	Professional judgment assessment based on location and magnitude of disturbance in relation to Fort McKay's key traditional use areas and resources, effects on access and Community concerns.

## Appendix B: Summary of Assessment[Fort McKay Specific Assessment]Criteria for Environmental[Fort McKay Specific Assessment]ComponentsComponents

Component	Assessment Criteria	Green-Yellow-Red Rating <sup>1</sup>
Reclamation (Section 10.0)	<ul> <li>Area disturbed and reclaimed over time</li> </ul>	Professional judgment assessment based on scientific\technical uncertainties associated with reclamation, specific Community concerns and loss of traditional use opportunities, and project-specific data

<sup>1</sup> The assessment of significance varies slightly between components. But in general: green = significant adverse effect unlikely, yellow = possible significant adverse effect and red = significant adverse effect. Substantial knowledge gaps or uncertainty regarding the assessment of specific indicator was rated in the yellow or red category depending on the situation.