Table 8: Changes in the Distribution of Timber Productive and Non-Productive Sites in the Fort McKay FTSA (AVI)

Timber Productivity Rating Description	Late-1990s Scenario		Base Case		Net Change from Late 1990s to Base Case	Net Change (%) for Jackpine Project		Net Change (%) for Pierre River Mine		Net Change (%) at Application Case		Net Change (%) at Closure	
	[ha]	[%]	[ha]	[%]	[%]	Late 1990s	Base	Late 1990s	Base	Late 1990s	Base	Late 1990s	Base
Productive Forest <sup>(a)</sup>			•										
good	91427	24	76978	20	-16	-17	-1	-19	-4	-20	-5	-13	3
medium	96133	25	82284	22	-14	-16	-2	-17	-3	-19	-5	-14	<1
fair	9908	3	9215	2	-7	-9	-2	-8	-1	-9	-3	96	111
productive forest subtotal	197469	52	168478	44	-15	-16	-1	-18	-3	-19	-5	-8	8
Unproductive Landbase			1										
unproductive <sup>(b)</sup>	95796	25	72735	19	-24	-31	-9	-27	-3	-34	-13	-34	-13
non-treed <sup>(c)</sup>	50821	13	38579	10	-24	-31	-9	-27	-4	-34	-13	-29	-6
non-vegetated <sup>(d)</sup>	35550	9	99843	26	181	216	13	209	10	245	23	177	-1
unproductive landbase subtotal	182167	48	211157	56	16	17	1	19	3	21	4	9	-6
Total	379,635	100	379,635	100									

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes all good, medium and fair productive stands with leading aspen, white birch, jack pine, balsam fir or white spruce in the tree canopy, productive cutblocks and upland burns.

Note: Some numbers are rounded for presentation purposes. Therefore, it may appear that the totals do not equal the sum of the individual value.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes all unproductive stands, black spruce and tamarack dominated stands, non-forested wetlands and wetlands burns.

<sup>(</sup>c) Non-treed types include open terrestrial and wetlands types (Table 7.5-20; Shell 2007).

<sup>(</sup>d) Non-vegetated types include water types and disturbances (Table 7.5-20; Shell 2007).